

Southern California Association of Governments



RTP Development

RTP Project Information Request

System Metrics Group, Inc.

The 2004 RTP compiled limited project information, focusing primarily on costs ...



CO	Category	Route/Program	From	To	Description	Public Funding (025)	Private/Other Funding (026)	Completion Year	RTP ID
IM	Arterial	SR-115	I-8	Evan Hewes Hwy	Construct 4-lane extension	\$55,000,000		2012	6M0400E
IM	Arterial	SR-98	SR-111	Dogwood Rd/SR-98	Corridor improvements - widening and/or realignment	\$30,000,000		2012	6M04001
IM	Arterial	SR-78	at Proposed SDSU Campus in Brawley		Access Improvements	\$3,000,000		2012	6M04001A
IM	Arterial	SR-111	South of SR-98	Port of Entry	Improvements	\$50,000,000		2012	6M01002
IM	Mixed Flow	SR-111	SR-98	I-8	Upgrade to 4-lane freeway with interchange(s) at several locations	\$90,000,000		2012	6M01003
IM	Arterial	SR-111	SR-78 (Brawley)	SR-115 (Calipatria)	Upgrade to 4-lane conventional	\$50,000,000		2012	6M01004
IM	Arterial	SR-98	West of SR-111 @ RR crossing		Construct bridge structure	\$1,500,000		2022	6M01007
IM	Arterial	Dogwood Rd Corridor / I-8 Overpass	SR-98	I-8	Corridor improvements - widen to 6 lanes from McCabe to I-8; I-8 Improvement to 6 lanes	\$90,000,000		2012	6M04018
IM	O&M	State Highway and Arterial Preservation	Countywide		State Highway and Arterial Preservation/Maintenance	\$157,500,000		2030	6PL04
IM	TDM	TDM/Non-motorized	Countywide		TDM (Non-motorized, telecommute, etc.)	\$32,000,000		2030	6TDL04
IM					Total Imperial County	\$559,000,000	\$0		
LA	Arterial	Arterial Improvements	Countywide		Regional Surface Transportation Improvements - refer to separate Arterials project list	\$583,200,000		2030	1AL04
LA	Grade Crossing	Grade Crossing	Countywide		Arterial Goods Movement - refer to separate Grade Crossings project list	\$522,600,000		2030	1GL04
LA	HOV	I-5/SR-170	North to South/South to North		HOV Connector	\$43,000,000		2025	1H0102

System Metrics Group, Inc.

For the 2007/2008 RTP, we plan to request additional project information



- Based on the feedback from US DOT, we need to strengthen the financial element of the RTP so that we are not forced to amend it in the future when real costs differ significantly from RTP costs
- We plan to request capital cost information by category (Engineering, Right-of-way, Construction)
- We also want to try and better match project costs with revenue sources (to the extent possible)
- We will also request information on expected benefits of the projects and sources

Draft list of additional fields that will be requested ...



- Lead Agency – Agency that initiated and is responsible for project delivery
- Existing Configuration – Description of existing configuration (e.g., 4 lanes) for highway projects. This reduces coding time for SCAG modelers.
- Project Source – How was the project proposed (e.g., Sales tax plan, CMP, LRP)
- Priority – Programmed, committed, planned, or additional phase of prior project. This helps us identify degree of funding commitment.
- Start Year – Year that project expenditures begin. We need this to identify when to begin spending funds (if we compare revenues and costs by year)
- Costs by Category – Expenditure categories including: engineering, right of way, and construction
- Expenditures by funding source – Expenditures and funding sources identified for project by year or in five-year increments. We need this to compare expenditures to revenues.
- Funding Comments – Narrative to identify any details about funding commitments.
- Benefits – Benefits by performance major performance outcome (per RTP measures)

The spreadsheet provided is divided into five categories

1. Project identification

Project Identification				
RTP ID	County	Lead Agency	Source of Project	Category
6MadeUp	IMP	IVAG	County plan	Arterial

2. Project information

Project Information							
Route/Program	From	To	Description	Existing Configuration	Start Year	Completion Year	Priority
SR-Example	I-Example	Example Hwy	Construct 4-lane extension	None	2008	2012	Programmed

3. Project Y

Project Costs by Category			
Engineering (07\$)	Right-of-Way (07\$)	Construction (07\$)	Total Costs (07\$)
\$2,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$49,000,000	\$55,000,000

The spreadsheet provided is divided into five categories ... continued

4. Funding Sources

Expenditures by Funding Source								
Federal Funding (07\$)	Federal Funding Source (if known)	State Funding (07\$)	State Funding Source (if known)	Local Funding (07\$)	Local Funding Source (if known)	Private Funding (07\$)	Total Funding (07\$)	Funding Comments
\$45,000,000	FHWA CMAC	\$7,000,000	STIP	\$3,000,000	Other	\$0	\$55,000,000	

5. Benefits Expected

Benefits					
Project Purpose and Need	Mobility	Accessibility	Safety	Reliability	Environment
Relieve congestion on parallel route and provide access	Yes	Yes			Yes

**The information request will include a spreadsheet
with appropriate pull-down menus ...**



- We understand that details for some projects may not be available at this stage
- To the extent possible, estimates for these details would be appreciated along with appropriate comments
- We want to start this process early enough to allow for subsequent discussions and clarifications (not to mention modeling)